U.S DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y

Defendant.			BOYLE M.			
PROFESSIONAL CLAIMS BUREAU, INC.		SUED	WEXLER IL			
-against-	UMMONS IS		11	293	9	
	Plaintiff,	CV	77	000	^	
MELANIE KAIL			CLASS ACTION AND OFFICE COMPLAINT			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORI			★ j	UN 20 2011	*	

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

Plaintiff brings this action on her own behalf and on behalf of all others similarly situated for damages arising from the Defendant's violation of §1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices.

Introduction

1. This action seeks redress for the illegal practices of Defendant, concerning the collection of a consumer debt, in violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA").

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 2. This Court has Federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District because the acts and transactions that give rise to this action occurred, in substantial part, in this District. Additionally, Plaintiff resides in this District.

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York who resides in Nassau County.
- 5. Plaintiff is a "Consumer" as that term is defined by § 1692(a)(3) of the FDCPA in that Plaintiff is a natural person and the alleged debt that the Defendant sought to collect from Plaintiff is a consumer debt.
- 6. Upon information and belief, Defendant is an active New York Corporation which caused the collection calls complained of herein to be sent within the county of Nassau, State of New York.
- 7. Defendant is regularly engaged in the collection of debts allegedly owed by consumers.
- 8. Defendant is thus a "Debt Collector" as that term is defined by § 1692(a)(6) of the FDCPA.
- 9. Defendant communicated with Plaintiff by leaving a telephone messages on Plaintiff's answering machine within the past 90 days since the filing of this complaint.
- 10. The aforementioned messages gave the following phone number (516) 681-1122. This telephone number belongs to Professional Claims Bureau, Inc.
- 11. The messages from the Defendant violates 15 USC, §§ 1692d(6), 1692e(10), 1692e(11).
- 12. These messages in short left a call back number emphasizing the importance of the message without indicating the nature of the call and failing to indicate which company and the nature of the company's business the call is from.

13. Specifically, the message left failed to indicate who the call was from and failed to indicate that it was an attempt to collect a debt.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (hereinafter "FRCP"), on behalf of plaintiff and all consumers and their successors in interest (the "Class") who have received telephone calls from the Defendant as of one year prior to the filing of plaintiff's complaint until the present, which failed to identify the purpose of the call and failed to identify who the caller was and the nature of the call as required by statute.
- 15. Excluded from the Class is the Defendant herein, and any person, firm, trust, corporation, or other entity related to or affiliated with the Defendant, including, without limitation, persons who are officers, directors, employees, associates or partners of Defendant.
- 16. This action is properly maintained as a class action. This Class satisfies all the requirements of Rule 23 for maintaining a class action.
- 17. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Upon information and belief, 40 or more persons have received debt collection notices from the Defendant, which violate various provisions of the FDCPA.
- 18. There are questions of law and fact which are common to the Class and which predominate over questions affecting any individual Class member. These common questions of law and fact include, without limitation:

- a. Whether the defendant violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d(6), 1692e(10), 1692e(11).
- b. Whether plaintiff and the Class have been injured by the defendant's conduct; and
- c. Whether plaintiff and the Class have sustained damages and are entitled to restitution as a result of defendant's wrongdoing and, if so, what is the proper measure and appropriate statutory formula to be applied in determining such damages and restitution.
- 19. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class, and plaintiff has no interests adverse or antagonistic to the interests of other members of the Class.
- 20. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class and have retained experienced counsel, competent in the prosecution of class action litigation.
- 21. A class action is superior to other methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims herein asserted. Plaintiff anticipates that no unusual difficulties are likely to be encountered in the management of this class action.
- 22. A class action will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Class treatment also will permit the adjudication of relatively small claims by many Class members who could not otherwise afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein. Absent a class action the Class members will continue to suffer losses of statutorily protected rights as well as

monetary damages and if defendant's conduct will proceed without remedy they will continue to reap and retain the proceeds of their ill-gotten gains.

23. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the entire Class, thereby making appropriate relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by reference, all the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 25. Upon information and belief, the messages received by the plaintiff were scripted messages that were sent to other consumers.
- 26. The impact of these messages are to be evaluated by the objective standard of the hypothetical "least sophisticated consumer."
- 27. Section 1692e of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act states that:
- "A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."
- 28. Section e(10) is a violation through the use of deceptive means to attempt to collect a debt. Section e(11) mandates that communications state that they are from a debt collector.

29. Section 1692(d) prevents a debt collector from engaging in any conduct the natural

consequences of which is to harass, oppress or abuse any person in connection with the

collection of a debt. Section 1692(d)(6) specifically prohibits the placement of telephone calls

without meaningful disclosure of the caller's identity.

30. Defendant violated the above sections by leaving messages on the plaintiff's answering

machine which failed to identify in any meaningful way the identity of the caller or the nature of

their calls, and which failed to identify the name of the person.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment as follows:

a) Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a class action and certifying

plaintiff as Class representative;

Awarding plaintiff and the class statutory damages; b)

c) Awarding plaintiff and the class costs of this action, including reasonable

attorneys' fees and expenses; and

d) Awarding plaintiff and the class such other and further relief as the Court may

deem just and proper.

Dated: Cedarhurst, New York

June 19, 2011

Plaintiff requests trial by jury on all issues so

6

445 Central Avenue Suite 201 Cedarhurst, New York 11516 Telephone (516) 374-2118 Facsimile (516) 706-2404